

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/803,747                           | 03/17/2004    | Lawrence C. Gunn III | LUX-P027                | 6870             |
| 75                                   | 90 12/14/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Fernandez & Associates, LLP PO BOX D |               |                      | BLEVINS, JERRY M        |                  |
| Menlo Park, CA 94026-6402            |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                      |               |                      | 2883                    |                  |
|                                      |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/803,747  | GUNN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jerry Martin Blevins  | 2883  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | l   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pr   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or example.   | wn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | ır.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | •   | • ,   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | = ' '   | · · ·   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.                               | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/803,747

Art Unit: 2883

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to an arrayed waveguide grating and a system for phase error compensation of an arrayed waveguide grating, classified in class 385, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 17-24, drawn to a method for adjusting the phase modulation of light propagating through an optical path of a selected waveguide of an arrayed waveguide grating, classified in class 385, subclass 3.
- III. Claim 25, drawn to a method for designing the physical layout of a plurality of waveguides of an arrayed waveguide grating, classified in class 264, subclass 1.24.
- IV. Claim 26, drawn to a method for shaping the passband of a selected channel of an arrayed waveguide grating, classified in class 385, subclass
   10.
- V. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method for selecting the output port of an arrayed waveguide grating for light of a given wavelength, classified in class 398, subclass 87.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, I and IV, and I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another

Application/Control Number: 10/803,747

Art Unit: 2883

materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the processes can be practiced with any generic arrayed waveguide grating, and not solely the arrayed waveguide grating of Invention I.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product need not be made using the integrated circuit layout software of Invention III.

Inventions II, III, IV, and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are each methods, which lack the physical structure of an arrayed waveguide grating. The arrayed waveguide grating is the correlating element for the different inventions, and the different inventions cannot be used together without the presence of the physical structure of an arrayed waveguide grating. Furthermore, Invention II has the function of adjusting phase modulation, Invention III has the function of designing the physical layout of an arrayed waveguide structure, Invention IV has the function of passband shaping, and Invention V has the function of selecting an output port of an arrayed waveguide grating for light of a given wavelength. Therefore, the different inventions each have different functions.

Art Unit: 2883

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Martin Blevins whose telephone number is 571-272-8581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,747

Art Unit: 2883

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JMB** 

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort